

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: John C. Bruce et al.

Examiner: Charles Alan Marmor II

Serial No.: 10/778,006

Group Art Unit: 3736

Filed: February 12, 2004

Docket No.: M190.150.101 / PD-272.00

Due Date: January 22, 2006

Title: CONTACT TONOMETER USING MEMS TECHNOLOGY

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Sir/Madam:

We are transmitting herewith the attached:

- ☒ Transmittal Sheet containing Certificate of Mailing under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 (1 pg.); and
- ☒ Response to Non-Compliant Amendment (9 pgs).
- ☒ One (1) Return postcard.

Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0471.

Please continue to send all correspondence to:

MEDTRONIC, INC.

Attn: Patent Department

710 Medtronic Parkway

Minneapolis, MN 55432

By: 

Name: Timothy A. Czaja

Reg. No.: 30,649

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8:

The undersigned hereby certifies that this paper or papers, as described herein, are being deposited in the United States Postal Service, as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 10th day of January, 2006.

By: 

Name: Timothy A. Czaja

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: John C. Bruce et al.

Examiner: Charles Alan Marmor II.

Serial No.: 10/778,006

Group Art Unit: 3736

Filed: February 12, 2004

Docket No.: M190.150.101 / PD-272.00

Due Date: January 22, 2006

Title: CONTACT TONOMETER USING MEMS TECHNOLOGY

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT
UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.121

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Sir:

This Communication is in response to the "Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment" mailed on December 22, 2005.

The Amendment and Response filed on October 31, 2005 does not fully comply with the requirements of the revised amendment practice guidelines. The amendments to the specification and claims were deemed non-compliant because the amended language did not include markings indicating the changes made. With this Communication, we have submitted amendments to the claims and specification with changes shown.